

# Exhibit 10

**In the Matter Of:**

**NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY**

---

**DEPOSITION OF**

**DAVID CHASON**

*December 21, 2016*

---



**DISCOVERY**  
**LITIGATION SERVICES**  
Court Reporting • Videography • Trial Presentations

1201 West Peachtree Street  
Suite 2300  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
404.847.0999

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
DAVID CHASON on 12/21/2016

DEPOSITION OF

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT  
2 OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 -----X  
4 :  
5 IN RE: NEW ENGLAND :  
6 COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. :  
7 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION: MDL NO. 2419  
8 :  
9 This Documents Relates to: : Master Docket  
10 : 1:13-MD-02419-RWZ  
11 All Cases against the Box :  
12 Hill Defendants :  
13 :  
14 -----X

11 DEPOSITION OF DAVID CHASON

13 WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2016  
14 10:00 a.m.

15 Law Office of Peter G. Angelos  
16 One Charles Center  
17 100 North Charles Street  
18 Suite 2200  
19 Baltimore, MD 21201

25 Before: Linda Bahur, RPR



**DISCOVERY**  
LITIGATION SERVICES  
Court Reporting • Videography • Trial Presentations

Nationwide Coverage

1201 West Peachtree Street  
Suite 2300  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
404.847.0999  
www.DiscoveryLit.com

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
DAVID CHASON on 12/21/2016

DEPOSITION OF  
Pages 114..117

Page 114

1 was Exhibit 3. Okay. I'm looking at the bottom of  
2 page 1 on 1619-3, which is your report. And in your  
3 conclusions, you say -- right under conclusions, the  
4 first sentence, "My review of depositions and related  
5 documents was conducted from the perspective of a  
6 pharmacist."  
7 Do you see that?  
8 A Correct.  
9 Q And you have no experience running an  
10 ambulatory surgery center, just to be clear, right?  
11 A Correct.  
12 Q You're not permitted, pursuant to your  
13 license, to order or prescribe any drugs in the same  
14 capacity that a physician would, right?  
15 A Correct.  
16 Q Okay. And then I'm skipping down to  
17 the -- a little further in the middle of that  
18 paragraph, on the right side it says, "I have  
19 additional experience."  
20 Do you see that?  
21 A Correct.  
22 Q It says, "I have additional experience in  
23 the development and administration of regulations and  
24 training of state inspectors" -- which we talked  
25 about -- "for sterile compounding resulting from your

Page 115

1 eight years of service as a commissioner on the  
2 Maryland Board of Pharmacy."  
3 So that experience was gained across the  
4 course of your time as a pharmacist and with the  
5 Maryland Board of Pharmacy, right?  
6 A Correct.  
7 Q With regards to -- because it says  
8 "additional experience," and mentions the training of  
9 state inspectors for sterile compounding, would you  
10 have had that before 2012 or would that have been  
11 something that was related to your role as an  
12 independent consultant -- as an independent  
13 consultant and trainer for sterile compounding? That  
14 was 2014-2015.  
15 A There may have been some activity when the  
16 USP-797 regulations were being written and having  
17 meetings with staff regarding the development of  
18 regulations.  
19 Q Okay. Some of it might have been but  
20 there might have been some overlap?  
21 A Yeah.  
22 Q The training of state inspectors for  
23 sterile compounding aspect of that, you'd agree that  
24 that's experience that Dr. Bhambhani doesn't have,  
25 right?

Page 116

1 A Correct.  
2 Q You'd agree that most physicians wouldn't  
3 have that type of specific experience, right?  
4 A They wouldn't. They would have experience  
5 in handling sterile products. And physicians do some  
6 degree of compounding in their practices. So I  
7 wouldn't say that that was a true statement.  
8 Q All I'm trying to get at is she doesn't  
9 have the experience that you do, with regards to  
10 that, and certainly she wouldn't have experience or  
11 expertise regarding the training of state inspectors  
12 for sterile compounding?  
13 A Right. But she still has a responsibility  
14 in her role as a physician handling these products to  
15 have an adequate knowledge.  
16 Q Understood. And my question was simply  
17 regarding training of state inspectors for sterile  
18 compounding. She wouldn't have that experience? You  
19 wouldn't expect her to, right?  
20 A Correct.  
21 Q Okay. And I'm jumping over to page 2, the  
22 first paragraph. It starts out, "It is clear that  
23 operational failures."  
24 Do you see that?  
25 A I do.

Page 117

1 Q So the paragraph there reads "It is clear  
2 that operational failures at New England Compounding  
3 Center were the cause of the methylprednisolone  
4 acetate contamination involved in the 2012 fungal  
5 infection outbreak and that NECC's practices  
6 facilitated the appearance that it was closely  
7 regulated and operated as safely as a manufacturer."  
8 Did I read that correctly?  
9 A You did.  
10 Q So that's in your report. And I guess a  
11 couple follow-up questions to that. You mentioned  
12 earlier, I think, that you said you didn't research  
13 information about NECC and the recall.  
14 So if you didn't do that, on what did you  
15 rely for the statement that we just read, that it is  
16 clear that operational failures at NECC were the  
17 cause of the outbreak and that NECC's practices  
18 facilitated the appearance that it was closely  
19 regulated and operated safely as a manufacturer?  
20 What's your basis for that?  
21 A Some of that came from the information  
22 that was provided in the -- in all the documentation  
23 I received. And some of it was -- at that time I  
24 started looking at NECC's activities, you know, on  
25 the Internet to see what the CDC was saying, et



**DISCOVERY**  
LITIGATION SERVICES  
Court Reporting • Videography • Trial Presentations

Nationwide Coverage

1201 West Peachtree Street  
Suite 2300  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
404.847.0999  
www.DiscoveryLit.com

NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
DAVID CHASON on 12/21/2016

DEPOSITION OF  
Pages 118..121

Page 118

1 cetera.

2 Q So when you said earlier that you didn't

3 look into that or didn't have information related to

4 that in answer to some of my questions, that wasn't

5 entirely accurate, correct?

6 MR. COREN: Objection to form.

7 MR. MINTZER: Objection to form.

8 A No. Your references were during the time

9 that I was on the board, and this was during the time

10 I was doing research regarding this assessment.

11 Q Can we agree that NECC caused the

12 contamination at issue here? You say that directly

13 in your first paragraph, right?

14 A I do.

15 Q And there are a couple -- few other quick

16 things I think we can probably agree to, but let me

17 run through them.

18 Can we agree that NECC had a duty to its

19 customers to provide safe products?

20 MR. COREN: Objection to form. You can

21 answer.

22 A Yes.

23 Q Can we agree that NECC had a duty to

24 provide safe products so that patients could also be

25 safe?

Page 119

1 MR. COREN: Objection to form. You can

2 answer.

3 A Yes.

4 Q Can we agree that NECC had a duty to

5 accurately represent the safety and quality of its

6 products to customers and potential customers?

7 MR. COREN: Objection to form. You can

8 answer.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Can you agree that in producing the

11 recalled lots of steroids, the contaminated lots of

12 steroids in the summer and fall of -- summer or fall

13 of 2012, that NECC fell below the standard of care

14 applicable to them --

15 MR. COREN: Objection.

16 Q -- as a compounding pharmacist --

17 compounding pharmacy?

18 MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can

19 respond.

20 A Please reask that question.

21 Q Sure. No problem.

22 A There was enough going on that I really am

23 not sure I understood the question.

24 Q Can we agree -- and this is kind of based

25 on the other agree questions that I asked,

Page 120

1 A Right. Yeah.

2 Q But can we agree that in producing these

3 three lots of contaminated steroids that were at

4 issue in the summer of 2012, that based on that, that

5 NECC fell below the standard of care applicable to

6 them?

7 MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can

8 respond to that.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And can we agree that in the summer and

11 fall of 2012, when this all happened, NECC failed in

12 its duty to do all the things we just discussed?

13 MR. COREN: Objection as to form.

14 A Why are you asking the same question and

15 then asking for a summary of the same question?

16 Q I don't think I am, but I can strike that

17 one because that may be redundant.

18 A Yeah.

19 Q Can we agree that NECC violated the law in

20 these cases?

21 MR. COREN: Objection as to form. You can

22 respond.

23 A Yes.

24 Q Can we agree that NECC was not acting as a

25 true traditional compounder, even though they called

Page 121

1 themselves a compounder, they were acting as a

2 manufacturer?

3 MR. MINTZER: Objection to form.

4 MR. COREN: You can answer.

5 A Yes.

6 Q And can we agree that NECC's conduct in

7 these ways caused injury to the patients in these

8 cases?

9 MR. MINTZER: Same objection.

10 MR. COREN: Objection as to form.

11 A Yes.

12 Q I want to talk briefly about an entity

13 called Medical Sales Management. Are you familiar

14 with Medical Sales Management?

15 A No.

16 Q Okay. So there's been testimony in this

17 case about Medical Sales Management. So I want you

18 to assume, because it's supported in the evidence

19 that -- it's also a fact -- that Medical Sales

20 Management was also a part of the NECC cadre of

21 companies all owned and operated by the same people,

22 the Caddens and the Conigliaros.

23 Can you assume that? It's a hypothetical

24 question.

25 MR. COREN: No, you can't. If you've got



**DISCOVERY**  
LITIGATION SERVICES  
Court Reporting • Videography • Trial Presentations

Nationwide Coverage

1201 West Peachtree Street  
Suite 2300  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
404.847.0999  
www.DiscoveryLit.com